

No.	BIDDER COMPANY	BID BOND (Y/N)	ADDENDA ACKNOWLEDGEMENT (Y/N)	BID FORM (Y/N)	TOTAL BID \$	PACKAGE COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS
1	<u>Chasco</u>	Y	Y	Y	<u>\$2,277,732.72</u>	Y	1. Did not use the City's Bid Form. 2. The Bid Schedule spreadsheet was not completely filled out, missing written numerical values in second column. 3. 5% of Bid Value was not filled in on Bid Bond Form, although 5% Bid Bond was included in the package.
2	Cash Construction	Y	Y	Y	\$2,349,106.05	Y	1. Addenda acknowledgement includes the wrong date for Addendum 2. 2. 5% of Bid Value was not filled in on Bid Bond Form, although 5% Bid Bond was included in the package.
3	Capital Excavation	Y	Y	Y	\$2,398,464.11	Y	1. Did not use the City's Bid Form. 2. 5% of Bid Value was not filled in on Bid Bond Form, although 5% Bid Bond was included in the package.
4	Smith Contracting	Y	Y	Y	\$2,761,425.55	Y	1. Two bid estimate values were incorrect. 2. 5% of Bid Value was not filled in on Bid Bond Form, although 5% Bid Bond was included in the package. 3. Addenda acknowledgement includes the wrong date for Addendum 2.

LJA COMMENTS: Chasco Contractors submitted the lowest bid. Their package was accurate and complete. They used their own Bid Bond Form which resembles the City's Standard Bid Bond Form. LJA contacted Chasco about the discrepancies and Chasco indicated they typically use the bid bond form provided. Chasco is willing to sign City's form. Per discussion with Chasco, all other comments were addressed and they are content with listed prices in Bid Schedule, as stated numerically.

LJA RECOMMENDS: Based on the information provided here, LJA recommends Chasco Constructors.