

May 4, 2022

Ms. Patricia Davis, M.S.C.E., P.E. City Engineer City of Pflugerville 15500 Sun Light Near Way, #B, Bldg 6 Pflugerville, Texas 78691

Re: Recommendation of Award Trail Improvements Project

Dear Ms. Davis,

The competitive sealed proposal (CSP) process was utilized for this procurement. The CSP procurement method allows for consideration of price, experience and reputation of offerors, quality of goods and services, safety records and other relevant factors identified in a request for proposal, thereby assuring that the City obtains the best value by controlling cost without sacrificing service or quality. The CSP selection criteria includes consideration items for contractor relevant experience (30 points), references (10 points), and cost proposal (60 points). The consideration items are structured so that the highest scoring proposer represents the best value for the City. The CSP process was utilized for this project so that the City could select a contractor with relevant and extensive construction experience given the scope of the improvements.

The selection committee reviewed the documentation provided with the proposals received by the City of Pflugerville (City) on Thursday, April 14, 2022 in compliance with the competitive sealed proposal (CSP) process described in the project manual. A total of five proposals were received.

One of the five proposers, TCB Construction Inc., failed to provide evidence of authority to sign with the initial proposal packet. In accordance with Section 12 of the Instruction to Proposers, the City waived the requirement to have that document included in the original submission and continued with the evaluation of proposers. I simultaneously reached out TCB to provide the document. After several attempts, which included both phone conversations and emails, TCB stated they would be unable to provide the evidence of authority required by Item 8 of the Proposal and Bid Schedule of the contract documents. Based on this assertion, TCB was deemed non-responsive.

After evaluation of the proposers to determine best value to the City based on the CSP criteria outlined above, TCB was found to have the highest score. Despite having the highest score, TCB was deemed non-responsive. Therefore, Dig Dug Construction, LLC. represents the best value as the highest scoring, responsive, proposer. It is our recommendation that the responsive and highest scoring proposer, Dig Dug Construction, LLC, represents the best value to the City for this project and be awarded this contract for a contract amount not to exceed \$480,289.50.

305 East Huntland Drive Suite 200 Austin, Texas 78752 **p**: 512.453.0767 **f**: 512.453.1734 TBPE Firm F1416



The engineer's opinion of probable construction cost was \$422,000 which is comparable with the contract amount of \$480,289.50 recommended to be awarded to Dig Dug Construction, LLC.

Please contact me at 512.992.2969 if you should have any questions regarding our recommendation. We look forward to working with you through the construction phase of this project.

Sincerely,

Anthony D. Buonodono, P.E., PMP Senior Associate Infrastructure Group Lead